Invariant Imbedding and Neutron Transport Theory III—Neutron-Neutron Collision Processes

RICHARD BELLMAN, ROBERT KALABA
& G. MILTON WING*

1. Introduction. In previous papers in this series, [2, 3, 4], we have considered neutron transport models in which various types of collisions (scattering, absorption, fission) were allowed to occur between the moving particles and fixed nuclei. The effects of collisions between neutrons were not considered. In the current investigation we include this process, as well as the others, assuming that the new type of collision results in the annihilation of the particles involved.

Considerations are again confined to a one-dimensional model, though particles with several possible energy states are included. The concept of invariant imbedding, [1], is used to derive the functional equations which constitute a mathematical description of the physical processes.

First, the internal flux equations are derived, assuming a very general and physically reasonable neutron-neutron collision law. A special case is then investigated in some detail. The equations so obtained are amenable to analysis, although not easily solved explicitly. It is worthwhile, then, to present the results of some numerical experimentation.

The analytic treatment shows that, regardless of the magnitude of the cross section for collision between moving neutrons, there is no critical length (mass) for the rod. Arbitrarily large fluxes may be obtained, as might be imagined, if the source is strong enough.

The analogy between this situation and that in hydrodynamics, where the addition of an arbitrarily small viscosity term eliminates the discontinuous shock phenomenon, is then pointed out.

Various interconnections among internal fluxes and transmitted and reflected fluxes are exhibited for the models considered. They are, of course, more complicated than those described in [4], where the effects of collisions between moving particles were neglected.

* Work performed in part under the auspices of the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

2. A Collision Model. Consider a rod of length x containing nuclei which are fixed in position. A neutron moving in the rod may collide with a nucleus, which results in one of several possible events:

- a. the neutron may be absorbed without creation of more particles;
- b. it may be scattered in the forward or backward direction;
- c. it may disappear itself but give rise to new neutrons through the process of fission.

For convenience we assume, initially, that all neutrons are at the same energy level. Thus the events described may be aggregated by assuming that a neutron making a collision with a nucleus gives rise, on the average, to F neutrons moving in the original direction of travel and B neutrons moving in the opposite direction. Steady state conditions are assumed in deriving the basic equations.

In addition, we shall suppose that neutrons moving in opposite directions may collide with one another, resulting in their annihilation. To reduce these ideas to mathematical form let us introduce some convenient notation.

Assume that y neutrons per unit time are introduced into the system at x , as is shown in the figure below.

FIGURE 1.
The Physical Situation.

Let

- (1) $\sigma h + o(h)$ = probability that a neutron will collide with a nucleus in a segment of length h . (Here σ is the cross section and is the same as λ^{-1} used in [4].)
- (2) $u(z; x, y)$ = the expected number of neutrons per unit time passing an interior point z in the direction opposed to that of the incident neutrons.
- (3) $v(z; x, y)$ = the expected number of neutrons per unit time passing an interior point z in the same direction as the incident neutrons.
- (4) $k(u, v)h + o(h)$ = the expected number of neutrons in a stream of strength u which are annihilated per unit time due to collisions with an opposing stream of strength v , in an interval of length h .

Though we shall not enter into a detailed discussion of the desirable properties of the collision function $k(u, v)$, clearly we should expect

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5) \quad & \text{(a) } k(u, v) = k(v, u), \\
 & \text{(b) } k(u, 0) = 0, \\
 & \text{(c) } k(1, 1) = b,
 \end{aligned}$$

where b is the effective cross section for collision between neutrons. The symmetric behavior in (5a) is convenient, but not necessary.

3. The Internal Flux Equations. By noting the expected flows past observers at z and $z - h$, after suppressing the dependence of the functions u and v on x and y , we see that

$$(1) \quad u(z) = u(z - h)(1 - \sigma h) + u(z - h)\sigma h F + v(z)\sigma h B - hk(u, v) + o(h).$$

Similarly, for the function $v(z)$ we find the relation

$$(2) \quad v(z) = v(z + h)(1 - \sigma h) + v(z)\sigma h F + u(z)\sigma h B - hk(u, v) + o(h).$$

Letting $h \rightarrow 0$, we are led to the nonlinear system of differential equations for the internal fluxes

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3) \quad & u'(z) = (F - 1)\sigma u(z) + B\sigma v(z) - k(u(z), v(z)), \\
 & v'(z) = (1 - F)\sigma v(z) - B\sigma u(z) + k(u(z), v(z)).
 \end{aligned}$$

The boundary conditions are

$$(4) \quad u(0) = 0, \quad v(x) = y,$$

which follow from the formulation. Observe that these are two-point conditions, rather than initial values at 0 or at x .

In the following discussion, we shall assume that the collision function $k(u, v)$ is given by

$$(5) \quad k(u, v) = buv, \quad b > 0,$$

and that as a result of a fissioning one neutron is forward-scattered and one is backward-scattered, so that

$$(6) \quad F = B = 1.$$

Under these assumptions the equations in (3) reduce to

$$\begin{aligned}
 (7) \quad & u' = \sigma v - buv, \\
 & v' = -\sigma u + buv.
 \end{aligned}$$

Along with the boundary conditions of equation (4), the equations (7) represent a two-point nonlinear boundary value problem for the expected values of the internal fluxes.

4. Analysis and Computational Results. Though, in general, the discussion of a two-point nonlinear boundary value problem presents formidable difficulties

from both the analytical and computational viewpoints, in this case it is possible to proceed in a rather straightforward fashion. We consider solution curves which pass through the point

$$(1) \quad v(0) = d, \quad u(0) = 0,$$

for $z \geq 0$. It is convenient to normalize the unit of distance in such a way that

$$(2) \quad \sigma = 1,$$

and to consider three cases, depending on whether d , as defined in equation (1), is less than, equal to, or greater than b^{-1} .

We first consider that

$$(3) \quad 0 < d < b^{-1}.$$

From equation (3.7),

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} u' &= v - buv = v(1 - bu), \\ v' &= -u + buv = u(bv - 1); \end{aligned}$$

we see that initially u is increasing and v is decreasing. As z increases, v decreases and u increases, so that u' decreases and v' becomes more and more negative. Eventually v must become zero at, say, the value

$$(5) \quad z = z_1 = z_1(d),$$

at which point we have

$$(6) \quad u'(z_1) = 0.$$

Let us now show that

$$(7) \quad 0 \leq u(z) \leq b^{-1}, \quad 0 \leq z \leq z_1.$$

Upon integrating the equation

$$(8) \quad \frac{du}{dv} = \frac{v(1 - bu)}{u(bv - 1)},$$

which follows from equation (4), we find the relation

$$(9) \quad \log \frac{(1 - bu)(1 - bv)}{1 - bd} = b(d - v - u),$$

where

$$(10) \quad 0 \leq v \leq d < b^{-1}.$$

Consequently, in this case the curve $u = u(z)$ cannot cross the line $u = b^{-1}$. In addition, from formula (9) we determine that

$$(11) \quad v(0) = d = u(z_1) = u_{\max},$$

provided $d < b^{-1}$.

We have now established that both $u(z)$ and $v(z)$ are monotone and uniformly bounded by b^{-1} on the interval $[0, z_1(d)]$, for $d < b^{-1}$.

Graphs for this case in which $b = .01$ and various values of d are assigned are shown in Figure 2. The curves were obtained by Dr. E. C. DeLand using an analogue computing machine.

The case in which $d = b^{-1}$ can be resolved explicitly. The result is

$$(12) \quad u(z) = b^{-1}(1 - e^{-z}), \quad v(z) = b^{-1}.$$

If $d > b^{-1}$, then initially u and v increase as z increases. The function $v'(z)$ also increases. On the other hand, since $u(z)$ cannot cross the line $u = b^{-1}$ and u is monotone increasing, u approaches a limit, which, according to equation (9), must be b^{-1} . Graphs for this case with $b = .01$ are shown in Figure 3.

It is a straightforward matter to prove that for each $x, y > 0$ there exists a v -curve passing through the point (x, y) , as well as a corresponding u -curve passing through the point $(0, 0)$. A proof by contradiction is readily constructed.

In summary we state that, regardless of the value of $v(0) = d$,

$$(13) \quad 0 \leq u(z) \leq b^{-1}, \quad 0 \leq z \leq z_1(d).$$

For the function $v(z)$ we have

$$0 \leq v(z) \leq \text{Max } [v(x), b^{-1}], \quad 0 \leq z \leq x.$$

Lastly, we note that if a source of neutrons is applied to a sufficiently long rod, then, under the assumptions we have made, both the number of neutrons reflected and the number of neutrons transmitted are approximately equal to b^{-1} . This is the asymptotic value as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

The physical meaning of this, in marked contrast to the case in which no annihilation of neutrons through neutron-neutron collision takes place, [4], is that there is no critical length (mass) of the rod. The internal flux which in direction is opposed to the incident flux at each interior point of the rod is bounded *a priori* in terms of the collision coefficient. The internal flux which in direction agrees with that of the incident flux is bounded by an expression depending on the coefficient of collision and the source strength, and may be made arbitrarily large by having a sufficiently strong source. These results must not be taken too seriously in any physical situation, since the assumption that the interaction between u and v has the form buv may very well break down as u and v become large.

5. Perturbation Considerations. An interesting example of the difficulties which can arise through formal use of perturbation procedures can now be given. Once again consider the system

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} u' &= v(1 - bu), \\ v' &= u(bv - 1), \quad 0 \leq z \leq x, \end{aligned}$$

FIGURE 2.
The Internal Fluxes for $d \leq b^{-1} = 100$.

FIGURE 3.
The Internal Fluxes for $d \geq b^{-1} = 100$.

along with the boundary conditions

$$(2) \quad u(0) = 0, \quad v(x) = y,$$

where b is considered to be a small quantity. Let us put, following the usual procedure,

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} u &= u_0 + bu_1 + b^2u_2 + \cdots, \\ v &= v_0 + bv_1 + b^2v_2 + \cdots, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} u_i(0) &= 0, & i &= 0, 1, 2, \cdots, \\ v_i(x) &= \begin{cases} y, & i = 0, \\ 0, & i = 1, 2, 3, \cdots. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

For u_0 and v_0 we obtain the equations

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} u'_0 &= v_0, & u_0(0) &= 0, \\ v'_0 &= -u_0, & v_0(x) &= y, \end{aligned}$$

for which the solution is readily seen to be

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} u_0(z) &= \frac{y}{\cos x} \sin z, \\ v_0(z) &= \frac{y}{\cos x} \cos z, & 0 \leq z \leq x < \tfrac{1}{2}\pi. \end{aligned}$$

A critical length exists and is $\frac{1}{2}\pi$, since both u_0 and v_0 become infinite as x , the length of the rod, is increased to this length. This agrees with the results previously obtained in [2] for the linear case.

The equations for the function $u_1(z)$ and $v_1(z)$ are

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} u'_1 &= v_1 - u_0v_0, & u_1(0) &= 0, \\ v'_1 &= -u_1 + u_0v_0, & v_1(x) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The solution is of the form

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} u_1 &= \frac{y^2}{\cos^2 x} f_1(z, x), \\ v_1 &= \frac{y^2}{\cos^2 x} f_2(z, x), & 0 \leq z \leq x < \tfrac{1}{2}\pi, \end{aligned}$$

where the functions f_1 and f_2 are bounded away from zero as x tends to $\frac{1}{2}\pi$ for almost all z .

This would tend to strengthen the belief that $\frac{1}{2}\pi$ is the critical length. In reality, as we know from Section 4, there is no critical length. The transition

from the case $b = 0$ to the case $b > 0$ corresponds to a drastic change in the nature of the solutions with regard to the existence of criticality. We would, of course, be warned of this by the fact that the supposed perturbation terms, u_1 and v_1 , are actually of larger magnitude than u_0 and v_0 as $x \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}\pi$.

6. The Reflected and Transmitted Fluxes. Let us now consider the problem of determining directly the reflected and transmitted fluxes under the same assumptions of Sections 3 and 4. In particular, we seek the reflected and transmitted fluxes from a homogeneous bar of length x with an incident flux y . In the spirit of the principle of invariant imbedding, [1], we imbed this problem within the class of problems of determining these fluxes for bars of all lengths $x \geq 0$. The problem is trivial for $x = 0$, and knowledge of the solution for a bar of length x enables us to determine the solution for a bar of length $x + h$.

Mathematically, we are led to the reflection and transmission functions as solutions of initial value problems. Knowledge of these functions then enables us to determine the internal fluxes, $u(z)$ and $v(z)$, as solutions of initial value problems, which is of great importance from the computational viewpoint.

We introduce the function

- (1) $r(y; x)$ = the expected number of neutrons reflected per unit of time from a homogeneous bar of length x as a result of having y incident neutrons per unit of time.

FIGURE 4.
The Reflected Flux.

The expected number of neutrons reflected from a bar of length $x + h$ is the sum of three terms, to within terms with probabilities of orders zero and one in h . A neutron upon passing through the segment $[x + h, x]$ may undergo fission in that segment. Or it may not, in which case it will give rise to some neutrons which will be reflected from the rod of length x . In turn, some of these will enter the flux reflected from the bar of length $x + h$ and some will undergo fission in the segment $[x, x + h]$ thus giving rise to neutrons which re-enter the bar of length x , ultimately to contribute to the total flux reflected from the bar of length $x + h$. All other processes which give rise to the reflected flux have probabilities that are of order greater than the first and so may be neglected.

These considerations lead to the equation

$$(2) \quad r(y; x + h) = \sigma y h + r(y - b y h + \sigma h r; x)[1 - b y h] + o(h).$$

By letting h tend to zero we find that $r(y; x)$ satisfies the quasilinear first order

partial differential equation

$$(3) \quad r_x = \sigma y - byrr_y + \sigma rr_y - byr$$

where, as usual, the subscripts indicate partial differentiation. The reflection function $r(y; x)$ also satisfies the initial condition

$$(4) \quad r(y; 0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad r(0; x) = 0.$$

The equation (3) specializes, for $b = 0$, to the Riccati equation derived in our earlier papers for the reflection coefficient. It may be resolved via characteristic theory, [6], or by direct numerical integration, returning essentially to (2). The equations for the characteristics are

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{dx}{ds} &= 1, \\ \frac{dy}{ds} &= byr - \sigma r, \\ \frac{dr}{ds} &= \sigma y - byr. \end{aligned}$$

Since $x = s$, $y = 0$, $r = 0$ is a solution of the system (5) passing through the point $x = y = r = 0$, we find that

$$(6) \quad r(0; x) = 0,$$

as was assumed above on physical grounds.

Once the function $r(y; x)$ has been determined for suitable ranges of y and x , one may reduce the determination of $u(z)$ and $v(z)$, the internal fluxes, to the solution of initial value problems, as was mentioned earlier. If the incident flux $v(x) = y$ is specified, then the reflected flux is $r(y; x) = u(x)$, so that now both $u(z)$ and $v(z)$ are specified at $z = x$. Through use of equations (3.7) the functions $u(z)$ and $v(z)$ may now be determined on the entire interval $[0, x]$.

The equations satisfied by the transmitted flux $t(y; x)$, where

$$(7) \quad t(y; x) = \text{the expected number of neutrons emergent from the end } z = 0 \\ \text{of a homogeneous bar of length } x \text{ as a result of having } y \text{ neutrons} \\ \text{per unit time incident on the end } z = x,$$

are similarly derived. We have

$$(8) \quad t_x = (\sigma - by)rt_y$$

along with the boundary conditions

$$(9) \quad t(0; x) = 0, \quad t(y; 0) = y.$$

7. Internal Sources. Investigations paralleling those in [4] can be carried through for the determination of emergent fluxes due to internal sources. Let, for example,

- (1) $w(y; z; x)$ = the expected number of neutrons emerging per unit time from the x -end of a bar of length x as a result of a source of strength y neutrons per unit time, moving toward x , at the point z .

We find that

$$(2) \quad w(y; z; x + h) = w(y; z; x) + r(w\sigma h; x) + o(h).$$

This leads to the system

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} w_x &= \sigma r_v(0; x)w, & x \geq z, \\ w(y; z; z) &= y, \end{aligned}$$

for which the solution is

$$(4) \quad w = y \exp \left\{ \sigma \int_x^z r_v(0; s) ds \right\}, \quad x \leq z.$$

8. Two-Group Theory. Following the usual approximation to the physical situation where a neutron possesses a direction, and an energy which varies continuously between certain limits, let us assume that there are just two types of neutrons, "fast" and "slow", and that in the fission process either can give rise to the other. In addition, either type can annihilate the other in a neutron-neutron collision.

To simplify the equations let us assume that fast neutrons have a probability $\sigma_F h + o(h)$ of splitting in an interval of length h and that when they do split there is probability one-half of a fast neutron produced going in one direction and a slow neutron going in the other, and probability one-half of a slow neutron going in the former direction and a fast neutron going in the latter direction. The same situation is to prevail for slow neutrons with σ_F replaced by σ_S . To account for the annihilation of neutrons through collision let us assume that the expected number of fast neutrons annihilated per unit time in an interval of length h as a result of collisions with an opposing stream of fast neutrons is $b_{FF}u_F(z)v_F(z)h + o(h)$, where $u_F(z)$ and $v_F(z)$ are the respective stream strengths. The other collision coefficients b_{FS} and b_{SS} are defined similarly, as are the functions $u_S(z)$ and $v_S(z)$. Collisions between slow neutrons and overtaking fast neutrons are neglected. We specify that y_F fast neutrons per unit time are incident on the bar at $z = x$, as are y_S slow neutrons per unit time.

Taking into account the various fission and collision processes which can occur in an interval of length h , we find for the function $u_F(z)$

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} u_F(z + h) &= (1 - \sigma_F h)u_F(z) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_F h[u_F(z) + v_F(z)] + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_S h[u_S(z) + v_S(z)] \\ &\quad - b_{FF}hu_F(z)v_F(z) - b_{FS}hu_F(z)v_S(z) + o(h). \end{aligned}$$

Passing to the limit by letting h tend to zero, we find

$$(2) \quad u'_F = \frac{1}{2}\sigma_F(v_F - u_F) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_S(u_S + v_S) - b_{FF}u_Fv_F - b_{FS}u_Fv_S.$$

As a boundary condition for the function $u_F(z)$ we have

$$(3) \quad u_F(0) = 0.$$

Similar considerations then yield the following nonlinear system and boundary conditions for the functions $u_S(z)$, $v_F(z)$, $v_S(z)$:

$$u'_S = \frac{1}{2}\sigma_S(v_S - u_S) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_F(u_F + v_F) - b_{FS}u_Sv_F - b_{SS}u_Sv_S ,$$

$$(4) \quad v'_F = \frac{1}{2}\sigma_F(u_F - v_F) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_S(u_S + v_S) - b_{FS}v_Fu_S - b_{FF}v_Fu_F ,$$

$$v'_S = \frac{1}{2}\sigma_S(u_S - v_S) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_F(u_F + v_F) - b_{FS}v_Su_F - b_{SS}v_Su_S ,$$

$$(5) \quad u_S(0) = 0, \quad v_F(x) = y_F , \quad v_S(x) = y_S .$$

The resolution of the nonlinear two-point boundary value problem of equations (2), (3), (4) and (5) is troublesome, even when attempted numerically on a high speed computing machine. For situations involving more than two velocity groups this problem becomes even more serious. It is advantageous, therefore, to undertake the analysis from a different viewpoint, *viz.*, the determination of reflected and transmitted fluxes for rods of length $x \geq 0$, which leads to initial value problems.

Let us introduce the functions $r_F(y_F, y_S; x)$ and $r_S(y_F, y_S; x)$ defined to be

$$(6) \quad r_F(y_F, y_S; x) = \text{the expected number of fast neutrons reflected per unit time from a homogeneous rod of length } x \text{ as a result of } y_F \text{ fast neutrons and } y_S \text{ slow neutrons incident per unit time on the end } z = x.$$

$$(7) \quad r_S(y_F, y_S; x) = \text{the corresponding quantity for the slow neutrons reflected.}$$

Through reasoning paralleling that of Section 6 we derive the following equation for the function r_F :

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} r_F(y_F, y_S; x+h) &= \frac{1}{2}\sigma_F h y_F + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_S h y_S + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_S h r_S(y_F, y_S; x) \\ &- \frac{1}{2}\sigma_F h r_F(y_F, y_S; x) \\ &+ r_F(y_F - b_{FF}r_F y_F h - b_{FS}r_S y_F - \frac{1}{2}\sigma_F h y_F + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_S h y_S \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\sigma_F h r_F + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_S h r_S, y_S \pm \dots; x)[1 - \frac{1}{2}\sigma_F h - b_{FS}y_S h - b_{FF}y_F h] + o(h). \end{aligned}$$

The corresponding equation for the function r_S is

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} r_S(y_F, y_S; x+h) &= \frac{1}{2}\sigma_S h y_S + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_F h y_F + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_F h r_F(y_F, y_S; x) \\ &- \frac{1}{2}\sigma_S h r_S(y_F, y_S; x) \\ &+ r_S(y_F - b_{FF}r_F y_F h - b_{FS}r_S y_F - \frac{1}{2}\sigma_F h y_F + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_S h y_S \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\sigma_F h r_F + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_S h r_S, y_S \pm \dots; x)[1 - \frac{1}{2}\sigma_F h - b_{FS}y_F h - b_{SS}y_S h] + o(h). \end{aligned}$$

By passage to the limit in equations (8) and (9) we obtain a nonlinear system of first-order partial differential equations for the reflection functions r_F and r_S . The initial conditions are

$$(10) \quad r_F(y_F, y_S; 0) = r_S(y_F, y_S; 0) = 0.$$

Correspondingly, equations for the transmitted fluxes can be derived.

As in the one-velocity case, once r_F and r_S have been determined for suitable ranges of the independent variables, the determination of the internal fluxes is reduced to an initial value problem.

9. Analogy between Shock Waves and Critical Mass. In our paper [3] we derive the quasilinear partial differential equation

$$(1) \quad u_x = fu_t - h(u + tu_t) + atu(1 + u_t) + cuu_t + ct + f$$

for the generating function associated with the number of neutrons reflected from a one-dimensional case.

This equation is a generalized version of the equation

$$(2) \quad u_t + uu_x = 1$$

(COURANT-HILBERT, Vol. II, p. 55), which is used to illustrate the nature and origin of one-dimensional shock waves.

Comparing the two equations, we observe an interesting analogy between the time at which a shock first occurs and the length at which criticality occurs.

In the present paper, this analogy is pushed further. The equation of BURGERS, [5, 7],

$$(3) \quad u_t + uu_x = bu_{xx}, \quad b > 0,$$

where the term bu_{xx} corresponds to a physical viscosity, does not exhibit a shock. Similarly, the introduction of a collision phenomenon eliminates criticality.

The interesting thing to do is to examine the corresponding situation for multi-dimensional shocks and multi-dimensional fission processes, and this we shall do in a subsequent publication.

REFERENCES

1. R. BELLMAN & R. KALABA, On the Principle of Invariant Imbedding and Propagation through Inhomogeneous Media, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA*, **42** (1956), pp. 629-632.
2. R. BELLMAN, R. KALABA & G. M. WING, On the Principle of Invariant Imbedding and One-Dimensional Neutron Multiplication, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA*, **43** (1957), pp. 517-520.
3. R. BELLMAN, R. KALABA & G. M. WING, On the Principle of Invariant Imbedding and Neutron Transport Theory, I.—One-Dimensional Case, *Jour. of Math. and Mech.*, **7** (1958), pp. 149-162.
4. R. BELLMAN, R. KALABA & G. M. WING, Invariant Imbedding and Neutron Transport Theory, II.—Functional Equations, *Jour. of Math. and Mech.*, **7** (1958), pp. 741-756.

5. J. COLE, On a Quasi-linear Parabolic Equation Occuring in Aerodynamics, *Quart. of Appl. Math.*, 9 (1951), pp. 225-236.
6. R. COURANT & D. HILBERT, *Methoden der Mathematischen Physik*, Vol. II, Interscience Publishers, Inc., New York, 1937.
7. E. HOFF, The Partial Differential Equation $u_t + uu_x = \mu u_{xx}$, *Comm. on Pure and App. Math.*, 3 (1950), pp. 201-230.

The RAND Corporation
Santa Monica, California
and
Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory
Los Alamos, New Mexico