Differential Operators with Perturbed Domains

C. A. SWANSON

Communicated by W. MAGNUS

1. Introduction. We shall consider characteristic value problems for a second order ordinary linear differential operator defined on certain intervals of the real x -axis. We designate as the basic interval a half-open interval $(0, b]$ ($b > 0$) which contains no singular points of the differential operator, and we suppose the point $x = 0$ is a singular point of the operator. A self-adjoint operator over a suitable Hilbert space can then be defined by the application of appropriate boundary conditions (if needed) at the endpoints of the basic interval. We shall call this the *basic operator* and assume that there is an isolated point in its spectrum.

Our aim is to investigate the spectrum of a self-adjoint differential operator defined on a subinterval $[a, b]$ of the basic interval, where a is a small positive number. Such an operator will be referred to as a *perturbed operator*. We shall give a perturbation procedure for estimating the difference between the characteristic values of a perturbed operator and those of the basic operator. CODDINGTON [1] has shown that for problems of this type the spectral families belonging to a set of perturbed operators converge to the spectral family belonging to the basic operator as $a \rightarrow 0$. We shall establish more specific results for certain classes of problems when there are isolated points in the spectrum of the basic operator: We shall obtain asymptotic expansions for the perturbed characteristic values and characteristic functions as $a \rightarrow 0$.

We shall consider two classes of problems, which roughly correspond to the cases when the basic operator possesses or does not possess linearly independent solutions whose ratio tends to zero as $a \rightarrow 0$. In the one class, an essentially arbitrary homogeneous boundary condition is imposed on solutions of the differential equation at the point $x = a$, and it turns out that the characteristic values of the perturbed operator, whose domain is restricted by this boundary condition, converge to those of the basic operator. In the other class, the homogeneous boundary condition at $x = a$ must have a special character in order for the characteristic values to converge to those of the basic operator.

The first class includes problems of both the limit circle and the limit point type in WEYL's classification of singular points [7], [10]. On the other hand, the second class includes only problems of the limit circle type. Thus the criterion for distinguishing between our two classes of problems is not WEYL's criterion.

We are interested in problems where the perturbation arises from the domain of the operator, while in most existing theories it arises from the operator itself. In the usual perturbation theory, one considers a set of not necessarily bounded self-adjoint operators $\{A_\epsilon\}$ defined on a certain Hilbert space, where $\epsilon > 0$ is supposed small, such that A_ϵ converges in some sense to a self-adjoint operator A_0 as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. The characteristic values λ_ϵ and the characteristic functions y_ϵ of A_ϵ are then developed in convergent or asymptotic power series in ϵ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ [5]. In asymptotic perturbation theory, the perturbed operator is defined formally by the relation $A_\epsilon = A_0 + \epsilon A^{(1)} + \epsilon^2 A^{(2)} + \dots$ where the operators on the right side are symmetric and semi-bounded from below, and a distinguished self-adjoint extension of the right side can be obtained (the Friedrichs extension [5]). Then if the resolvent corresponding to A_ϵ converges strongly to the resolvent corresponding to A_0 , the spectral family of A_ϵ converges strongly to the spectral family of A_0 as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. In order to obtain asymptotic expansions of the characteristic values and characteristic functions belonging to A_ϵ , when A_0 has isolated points in its spectrum, one has to assume that the domain of A_ϵ is large enough to include the characteristic functions of A_0 .

In the problems we wish to treat, such is not the case, and a different procedure is needed. CODDINGTON [1] has discussed situations where the spectral family corresponding to a perturbed differential operator indeed converges; we intend to obtain asymptotic expansions of the characteristic values and the characteristic functions of the perturbed operator as $a \rightarrow 0$, in the event that there exists an isolated point in the spectrum of the basic operator. Our general procedure is a familiar one in the theory of asymptotic solutions of differential equations: We compare the solutions of the perturbed problem with those of the basic problem by means of an integral equation of Volterra's type. Our asymptotic expansions will not be power series in the small parameter a , as in analytic or asymptotic perturbation theory, but will be asymptotic expansions in a more general sense, and in fact these expansions will be convergent for sufficiently small values of a . The properties of general types of asymptotic expansions have been investigated by VAN DER CORPUT [9].

The main theorem is given in section 4, stating the existence of asymptotic expansions for the characteristic values and the characteristic functions as $a \rightarrow 0$. Furthermore, explicit asymptotic forms are obtained, of the type that are useful in applications [8]. The case that the exceptional point $x = 0$ is a regular singularity of the differential equation is treated in section 5; then the asymptotic forms referred to above are dominated by certain elementary functions. The case that $x = 0$ is an irregular singular point of finite rank is treated in section 6, in which case the asymptotic forms are dominated by exponential functions multiplied by powers of a .

2. Formulation of the problem. This section contains a detailed formulation of the characteristic value problems under consideration, including the definitions and assumptions used in the sequel. First, we shall give the asymptotic terminology to be used, of the type introduced by VAN DER CORPUT [9]. The definitions are not equivalent to the classical ones of POINCARÉ, but make weaker demands on the functions under consideration.

We shall be interested in obtaining asymptotic expansions of functions $f(\epsilon)$ for small values of the real variable ϵ . Let $\epsilon = \epsilon(a)$ be a function of a small positive variable a , with the property that $\epsilon(a) = o(1)$ as $a \rightarrow 0$. The function $\epsilon(a)$ will be called a *scale*. Suppose that $\psi = \psi(a)$ is an additional function of a . Then we shall define an asymptotic expansion of f as $a \rightarrow 0$ in the following way.

Definition 1. The formal series $\sum f_i$ is said to be an asymptotic expansion of $f(\epsilon)$ with scale $\epsilon(a)$ as $a \rightarrow 0$ if there exists a function $\psi(a)$ such that the order relation $f - f_1 - f_2 - \dots - f_h = O(\psi\epsilon^h)$ holds for each $h = 1, 2, \dots$.

If f has such an asymptotic expansion and if in addition $\psi\epsilon = o(f_1)$ as $a \rightarrow 0$, then the asymptotic form $f = f_1 + o(f_1)$ is valid as $a \rightarrow 0$.

Suppose now that f and f_i ($j = 1, 2, \dots$) depend not only upon a but also upon an additional real variable x on an interval I_a . The subscript a means that the x -interval can depend upon a . Let $\psi = \psi(a, x)$ be a function of a and x and let $\epsilon = \epsilon(a, x)$ be a function of a and x with the property that $\epsilon = o(1)$ as $a \rightarrow 0$ uniformly for $x \in I_a$.

Definition 2. The formal series $\sum f_i$ is said to be an asymptotic expansion for f with scale $\epsilon(a, x)$ as $a \rightarrow 0$, valid uniformly for $x \in I_a$, if there exists a function $\psi(a, x)$ such that $f - f_1 - f_2 \dots - f_h = O(\psi\epsilon^h)$ uniformly for $x \in I_a$ ($h = 1, 2, \dots$).

We now proceed to formulate the characteristic value problems to be investigated in the sequel.

Differential operators of the type

$$(2.1) \quad L = \frac{1}{k(x)} \left[-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + q(x) \right], \quad 0 < x \leq b,$$

are under consideration, where $q(x)$ and $k(x)$ are real-valued, piecewise continuous functions on $(0, b]$ and $k(x)$ is positive-valued. Let $\mathfrak{H}$ denote the Hilbert space $\mathfrak{L}_2(0, b)$, with norm $\|U\|$ defined as $(\int_0^b |U(x)|^2 k(x) dx)^{1/2}$. We shall now proceed to form certain self-adjoint operators in $\mathfrak{H}$ by suitably restricting the formal operator L .

Definition 3. Suppose that the point $x = 0$ comes under the limit point case in WEYL's classification of singular points. Then we define the domain $\mathfrak{D}_0$ to consist of those functions $Y \in \mathfrak{H}$ which satisfy the following conditions:

- (a) Y and Y' are continuous and Y'' is piecewise continuous on $(0, b]$.
- (b) $LY \in \mathfrak{H}$

(c) Y satisfies a homogeneous boundary condition at $x = b$ of the type

$$(2.2) \quad \mathfrak{B}_b[Y] = \beta_0 Y(b) + \beta_1 Y'(b) = 0$$

where β_0 and β_1 are real numbers.

Let $\chi(x)$ be a non-identically-zero solution of the equation $L\chi = i\chi$ such that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} [\bar{\chi}'(x)\chi(x) - \bar{\chi}(x)\chi'(x)] = 0.$$

Such a function exists according to WEYL's theorem [10].

Definition 4. Suppose that the point $x = 0$ comes under the limit circle case in WEYL's classification. Then we define the domain $\mathfrak{D}_0$ to consist of those functions $Y \in \mathfrak{S}$ which satisfy (a), (b), and (c) of definition 3 and in addition

(d) Y satisfies the following boundary condition at $x = 0$:

$$(2.3) \quad \mathfrak{B}_0[Y] \equiv \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} [\bar{\chi}'(x)Y(x) - \chi(x)Y'(x)] = 0.$$

Definition 5. In either the limit point case or the limit circle case, we define the basic operator A_0 in $\mathfrak{S}$ as follows: A_0 has domain $\mathfrak{D}_0$ and

$$A_0 Y = LY, \quad Y \in \mathfrak{D}_0.$$

It is known [7] that A_0 on $\mathfrak{D}_0$ is a self-adjoint operator in $\mathfrak{S}$.

We shall be interested only in the case that there exists at least one isolated point in the spectrum of A_0 . If the inverse operator $(A_0 - i)^{-1}$ is completely continuous on the subspace of piecewise continuous functions of $\mathfrak{S}$, then the spectrum will be entirely a point spectrum [7]. However, we shall not in general assume the complete continuity of $(A_0 - i)^{-1}$, but only assume there is an isolated point in the spectrum.

Let Λ be an isolated point in the spectrum of A_0 . Thus, if $Y_1 = Y_1(x) = Y_1(x, \Lambda)$ is the corresponding characteristic function,

$$(2.4) \quad LY_1 = \Lambda Y_1, \quad Y_1 \in \mathfrak{D}_0.$$

All characteristic functions Y will be normalized so that

$$(2.5) \quad ||Y||^2 \equiv \int_0^b |Y(x)|^2 k(x) dx = 1.$$

Let $Y_2 = Y_2(x) = Y_2(x, \Lambda)$ be a real-valued solution of the equation $LY = \Lambda Y$ such that Y_1 and Y_2 form a fundamental set of solutions. The Wronskian determinant of Y_1 and Y_2 is a constant which may be taken to be -1 without loss of generality,

$$(2.6) \quad \mathfrak{W}[Y_1, Y_2] = -1.$$

We shall now give formal definitions of the two classes of problems which will be under consideration in the sequel. These are the classes which have been

discussed summarily in section 1. The assumptions of the previous paragraph apply to either class.

Definition 6. For a class 1 problem the point $x = 0$ is not an accumulation point of the zeros of Y_1 and*

$$(2.7) \quad \frac{Y_1(x)}{Y_2(x)} \int_x^b |Y_1(t)Y_2(t)| k(t) dt = o(1) \quad \text{as} \quad x \rightarrow 0.$$

This implies that

$$(2.8) \quad \frac{Y_1(x)}{Y_2(x)} = o(1) \quad \text{as} \quad x \rightarrow 0.$$

Definition 7. For a class 2 problem there exists a pair of linearly independent solutions $U_1(x)$, $U_2(x)$ of the equation $LU = \Lambda U$ such that the point $x = 0$ is not an accumulation point of their zeros, the ratio $U_1(x)/U_2(x)$ is bounded and bounded away from zero in a neighborhood of $x = 0$, and

$$(2.9) \quad \int_0^x U_1(t)U_2(t)k(t) dt = o(1) \quad \text{as} \quad x \rightarrow 0.$$

Then for class 2 problems the ratio $Y_1(x)/Y_2(x)$ is not supposed to be small for x near 0, and in fact the limit of this ratio as $x \rightarrow 0$ may not exist at all. It follows from the assumptions that both U_1 and U_2 belong to $\mathfrak{S}$, and hence class 2 problems are always of the limit circle type.

The perturbed characteristic value problems for class 1 will now be defined.

Definition 8. The domain $\mathfrak{D}_a$ for class 1 problems is the set of all functions $y \in \mathfrak{S}$ having the properties (b), (c) of definition 3 and in addition the following three properties:

(e) y and y' are continuous on $(a, b]$ and y'' is piecewise continuous on $[a, b]$. Furthermore, y has a right derivative at $x = a$, which will be designated hereafter by $y'(a)$. Both y and y' are continuous from the right at $x = a$.

(f) $y = 0$ on $(0, a)$.

(g) y satisfies a homogeneous boundary condition at the point $x = a$ of the type

$$(2.10) \quad \mathfrak{B}_a[y] = \alpha_0(a)y(a) + \alpha_1(a)y'(a) = 0,$$

where $\alpha_0(a)$, $\alpha_1(a)$ are real-valued functions, not both zero for any value of a , subject to the following conditions: There exists a positive number a_0 such that all the functions

$$(2.11) \quad \frac{\alpha_0(a)Y_2(a)}{\mathfrak{B}_a[Y_2]}; \quad \frac{\alpha_1(a)Y_2'(a)}{\mathfrak{B}_a[Y_2]}; \quad \frac{\alpha_1(a)Y_2(a)Y_1'(a)}{Y_1(a)\mathfrak{B}_a[Y_2]}$$

are bounded whenever $0 < a \leq a_0$.

*Since the zeros of a pair of linearly independent real solutions of a second order ordinary linear differential equation separate each other, it follows that the zeros of Y_2 as well do not accumulate at $x = 0$.

Once the basic problem has been prescribed, this last condition is equivalent to the exclusion of certain special choices of the functions $\alpha_0(a)$, $\alpha_1(a)$ from consideration. In particular, (2.11) implies that the function

$$(2.12) \quad \frac{Y_2(a) \mathfrak{B}_a[Y_1]}{Y_1(a) \mathfrak{B}_a[Y_2]}$$

is bounded whenever $0 < a \leq a_0$. It can be shown easily that the dominant behavior of the excluded functions $\alpha_0(a)$, $\alpha_1(a)$ for a near 0, as determined by (2.11), does not depend upon a special choice of the function Y_a , but only upon the nature of the basic problem.

Definition 9. *The domain $\mathfrak{D}_a$ for class 2 problems is the set of all $y \in \mathfrak{S}$ having the properties (b), (c) of definition 3, (e), (f) of definition 8, and also the property*

$$(2.13) \quad \mathfrak{B}_a[y] = \bar{\chi}'(a)y(a) - \bar{\chi}(a)y'(a) = 0,$$

where $\chi(x)$ has been defined in connection with definition 4.

The boundary condition (2.13) has been chosen so that $\mathfrak{B}_a[Y_1] = o(1)$ for any function Y_1 which satisfies condition (2.3). The same purpose could be achieved by replacing $\chi(a)$ by any function with the asymptotic form $\chi(a) [1 + o(1)]$ as $a \rightarrow 0$ in (2.13). Because of the special choice of the coefficients $\bar{\chi}'$, $\bar{\chi}$ in (2.13), we shall refer to (2.13) as a distinguished boundary condition.

Definition 10. *In either class 1 or class 2 problems, we define the perturbed operator A_a in $\mathfrak{S}$ as follows: A_a has domain $\mathfrak{D}_a$ given by definition 8 or 9 and*

$$A_a y = Ly, \quad y \in \mathfrak{D}_a.$$

Then $\{A_a\}$ defines a set of self-adjoint operators on the space $\mathfrak{S}$ [7]. CODDINGTON [1] has shown that, under a slightly different definition of A_a , the spectral family of A_0 is the limit of the spectral family of A_a as $a \rightarrow 0$. We shall proceed in a different way and show in a constructive fashion how to estimate the difference between the characteristic values of A_a and A_0 for small values of a , and the difference between the corresponding characteristic functions.

The distinction between the boundary conditions (2.10) and (2.13), corresponding to class 1 and class 2 problems respectively, should be emphasized: The former is virtually arbitrary while the latter is determined in an essentially unique manner from the nature of the basic problem; the former may apply to either the limit point or the limit circle case while the latter is always a condition for the limit circle case. There are in fact problems which may be treated either way, so that class 1 and class 2 problems are not mutually exclusive.

3. The comparison technique. The characteristic value problem under consideration is

$$(3.1) \quad Ly = \lambda y, \quad y \in \mathfrak{D}_a \quad (0 < a < b).$$

It has been assumed that there exists a solution Λ , Y_1 of the basic problem, that is

$$(3.2) \quad LY_1 = \Lambda Y_1, \quad Y_1 \in \mathfrak{D}_0.$$

It is our purpose now to connect the solutions of these two problems. Let Y_1, Y_2 be the fundamental set of solutions of the equation $LY = \Lambda Y$ which has been described in section 1, and let Y be any solution. Let y satisfy the Volterra-type integral equation

$$(3.3) \quad y(x, \lambda) = Y(x) + (\Lambda - \lambda) \int_x^b G(x, t)k(t)y(t, \lambda) dt \quad (a \leq x \leq b)$$

and let

$$y(x, \lambda) \equiv 0 \quad (0 < x < a).$$

Here

$$(3.4) \quad G(x, t) = Y_1(t)Y_2(x) - Y_1(x)Y_2(t).$$

Then the following lemmas are valid.

Lemma 1. *For each fixed value of λ and a ($0 < a < b$), there exists a unique solution $y(x, \lambda)$ of the integral equation (3.3) which is continuously differentiable and twice piecewise continuously differentiable on $(a, b]$, and which is continuously differentiable from the right at $x = a$. This function satisfies the differential equation (3.1), and if $Y(x)$ satisfies a homogeneous boundary condition at $x = b$, then $y(x, \lambda)$ satisfies the same condition.*

This result follows from the Liouville-Neumann theorem on non-singular Volterra-type integral equations.

Lemma 2. *Let Λ be an isolated point in the spectrum of the self-adjoint operator A_0 , and let Y_1 be the corresponding characteristic function. Let $y(x, \lambda)$ be the solution of (3.3) when $Y = Y_1$. Suppose that λ satisfies the condition $\mathfrak{B}_a[y(x, \lambda)] = 0$. Then $y(x, \lambda)$ is a characteristic function for A_a with corresponding characteristic value λ .*

In a sequel we shall always choose $Y = Y_1$ in (3.3) so that lemma 2 can be applied.

Lemma 3. *Let $\chi(x)$ be the function defined in connection with definition 4. Let Λ be an isolated point in the spectrum of A_0 and let Y_1, Y_2 be the fundamental set of solutions of $LY = \Lambda Y$ used in (3.4). Then for class 2 problems, there is a constant C such that*

$$(3.5) \quad \chi(x) = CY_1(x)[1 + o(1)] \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow 0.$$

Furthermore, there exists a number a_0 such that $\mathfrak{B}_a[Y_2]$ is bounded away from zero whenever $a \leq a_0$.

Proof: Let $U_1(x)$, $U_2(x)$ be the solutions of $LU = \Delta U$ postulated in definition 7, and let $\phi_1(x)$, $\phi_2(x)$ be defined by means of the integral equations

$$(3.6) \quad \phi_j(x) = U_j(x) + (i - \Delta) \int_0^x G(x, t)k(t)\phi_j(t) dt \quad (j = 1, 2)$$

where the function $G(x, t)$, given by (3.4), has the representation

$$G(x, t) = \frac{1}{\Delta} [U_1(t)U_2(x) - U_1(x)U_2(t)]$$

in which Δ is a non-zero constant. It follows by a standard procedure that, for each j , equation (3.6) possesses a solution $\phi_j(x)$ with the property that

$$\phi_j(x) = U_j(x)[1 + o(1)] \text{ as } x \rightarrow 0 \quad (j = 1, 2),$$

and furthermore ϕ_j satisfies the equation $L\phi = i\phi$. Since $\chi(x)$ is a linear combination of the $\phi_j(x)$, and $Y(x)$ is a linear combination of the $U_j(x)$, condition (2.3) leads to the result (3.5). Further, it follows from (2.13) and (3.5) that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{G}_a[Y_2] &= C^*W[Y_1(a), Y_2(a)][1 + o(1)] \\ &= -C[1 + o(1)] \text{ as } a \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

which is bounded away from zero for a sufficiently small. This completes the proof of lemma 3.

The solution of the integral equation (3.3) when $Y = Y_1$, for each fixed value of x and λ , is represented by the series

$$(3.7) \quad y(x, \lambda) = Y_1(x) + (\Delta - \lambda)HY_1(x) + \cdots + (\Delta - \lambda)^m H^m Y_1(x) + \cdots$$

where the operator H is defined by

$$(3.8) \quad Hf(x) = \int_x^b G(x, t)k(t)f(t) dt,$$

and H^m is the m^{th} iterate of H ($m = 2, 3, \dots$). For each fixed a and each fixed λ , the series on the right of (3.7) is uniformly and absolutely convergent for $a \leq x \leq b$ and may be differentiated termwise.

Consider a characteristic function $Y_1 = Y_1(x, \Delta)$ of the operator A_0 for a class 1 or a class 2 problem. Let Y_1, Y_2 be the fundamental set of solutions of $LY = \Delta Y$ described in section 2. We shall use the following notation

$$(3.9) \quad \hat{Y}(x) = \text{maximum } \{|Y_1(x)|, |Y_2(x)|\} \quad (0 < x \leq b).$$

For class 2 problems we define

$$(3.10) \quad g(x) = \int_x^b \hat{Y}^2(t)k(t) dt \quad (0 < x \leq b),$$

which is bounded since class 2 problems are always of the limit circle type. For class 1 problems, we know from equation (2.6) and definition 6 that there

exists a number x_0 such that the ratio $Y_1(x)/Y_2(x)$ is a positive monotone increasing function of x whenever $0 < x \leq x_0$. Then we define

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} g(x) &= \int_x^b \hat{Y}^2(t)k(t) dt \quad (x_0 \leq x \leq b) \\ &= g(x_0) + \int_x^{x_0} |Y_1(t)| \hat{Y}(t)k(t) dt \quad (0 < x < x_0) \end{aligned}$$

and further define

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \hat{Y}'(x) &= \text{maximum } \{|Y_1'(x)|, |Y_2'(x)|\} \quad (x_0 \leq x \leq b) \\ &= \text{maximum } \left\{ \left| \frac{Y_2(x)}{Y_1(x)} Y_1'(x) \right|, |Y_2'(x)| \right\} \quad (0 < x \leq x_0). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4. *The following asymptotic form is valid for class 1 problems:*

$$(3.13) \quad H Y_1(x) = Y_2(x)[1 + o(1)] \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow 0.$$

Furthermore, there is a number C_1 independent of x and m such that

$$(3.14) \quad |H^m Y_1(x)| \leq \frac{2^m}{(m-1)!} C_1 [g(x)]^{m-1} \hat{Y}(x) \quad (0 < x \leq b) (m = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Proof: It follows from (3.4) and (3.8) that

$$\frac{H Y_1(x) - Y_2(x)}{Y_2(x)} = - \int_0^x Y_1^2(t)k(t) dt - \frac{Y_1(x)}{Y_2(x)} \int_x^b Y_1(t) Y_2(t)k(t) dt.$$

The first term on the right side is $o(1)$ as $x \rightarrow 0$ since $Y_1 \in \mathfrak{S}$, and the second term is $o(1)$ by assumption (2.7). This establishes the form (3.13).

The result (3.14) will be proved by mathematical induction on the positive integer m . First suppose that $x_0 \leq x \leq b$. Then it follows from equations (3.4), (3.8), (3.9), and (3.11) that

$$|H Y_1(x)| \leq \int_x^b \{|Y_1^2(t)Y_2(x)| + |Y_1(t)Y_2(t)Y_1(x)|\}k(t) dt \leq 2g(x)\hat{Y}(x).$$

Since $g(x)$ is bounded above for $x_0 \leq x \leq b$, the result (3.14) is true for $m = 1$. Under the hypothesis that it is true for m , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |H^{m+1} Y_1(x)| &\leq \frac{2^m}{(m-1)!} C_1 \int_x^b \{|Y_1(t)\hat{Y}(t)Y_2(x)| \\ &\quad + |Y_2(t)\hat{Y}(t)Y_1(x)|\}[g(t)]^{m-1}k(t) dt \\ &\leq \frac{2^{m+1}}{(m-1)!} C_1 \hat{Y}(x) \int_x^b \hat{Y}^2(t)[g(t)]^{m-1}k(t) dt \\ &= \frac{2^{m+1}}{m!} C_1 [g(x)]^m \hat{Y}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence it is true for $m + 1$, and the induction is complete.

Suppose now that $0 < x < x_0$. Then

$$(3.15) \quad |HY_1(x)| \leq \int_x^{x_0} \{|Y_1^2(t)Y_2(x)| + |Y_1(t)Y_2(t)Y_1(x)|\}k(t) dt \\ + \int_{x_0}^b \{|Y_1^2(t)Y_2(x)| + |Y_1(t)Y_2(t)Y_1(x)|\}k(t) dt.$$

Since the ratio $Y_1(x)/Y_2(x)$ is a positive monotone increasing function on the interval $0 < x < x_0$, it follows that

$$(3.16) \quad |Y_2(t)Y_1(x)| \leq |Y_1(t)Y_2(x)| \quad (x \leq t \leq x_0).$$

Substitution of the inequality (3.16) into the inequality (3.15) then leads to

$$|HY_1(x)| \leq 2Y_2(x) \int_x^{x_0} Y_1^2(t)k(t) dt + 2\hat{Y}(x) \int_{x_0}^b \hat{Y}^2(t)k(t) dt \\ \leq 2\hat{Y}(x) + 2\hat{Y}(x)g(x_0).$$

Hence the result (3.14) is true for $m = 1$. It follows from the induction hypothesis and the inequality (3.16) that

$$|H^{m+1}Y_1(x)| \leq \frac{2^m}{(m-1)!} C_1 \int_x^{x_0} \{|Y_1(t)\hat{Y}(t)Y_2(x)| \\ + |Y_2(t)\hat{Y}(t)Y_1(x)|\}[g(t)]^{m-1}k(t) dt \\ + \frac{2^{m+1}}{(m-1)!} C_1 \hat{Y}(x) \int_{x_0}^b \hat{Y}^2(t)[g(t)]^{m-1}k(t) dt \\ \leq \frac{2^{m+1}}{(m-1)!} C_1 |Y_2(x)| \int_x^{x_0} |Y_1(t)| \hat{Y}(t)[g(t)]^{m-1}k(t) dt \\ + \frac{2^{m+1}}{(m-1)!} C_1 \hat{Y}(x) \int_{x_0}^b \hat{Y}^2(t)[g(t)]^{m-1}k(t) dt \\ \leq \frac{2^{m+1}}{m!} C_1 [g(x)]^m \hat{Y}(x) \quad (0 < x < x_0).$$

This completes the inductive proof of the result (3.14).

Lemma 5. Let $\mathfrak{B}_a[y] = 0$ be the boundary condition (2.10). Then the following asymptotic form is valid for class 1 problems:

$$(3.17) \quad \mathfrak{B}_a[HY_1] = \mathfrak{B}_a[Y_2][1 + o(1)] \quad \text{as } a \rightarrow 0.$$

Furthermore, there is a number* C_1 independent of x and m such that

$$(3.18) \quad |[H^m Y_1(x)]'| \leq \frac{2^m}{(m-1)!} C_1 [g(x)]^{m-1} \hat{Y}'(x) \\ (0 < x \leq b)(m = 1, 2, \dots)$$

*There is no loss of generality in assuming that the constants C_1 in lemmas 4, 5, and 6 are identical.

and

$$(3.19) \quad |\mathfrak{B}_a[H^m Y_1]| \leq \frac{2^m}{(m-1)!} C_1 [g(a)]^{m-1} \{ |\alpha_0(a)| \hat{Y}(a) + |\alpha_1(a)| \hat{Y}'(a) \} \\ (0 < a < b) (m = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Proof: In forming $\mathfrak{B}_a[HY_1]$, we may differentiate HY_1 under the integral sign and obtain

$$(3.20) \quad \frac{\mathfrak{B}_a[HY_1] - \mathfrak{B}_a[Y_2]}{\mathfrak{B}_a[Y_2]} = - \int_0^a Y_1^2(t) k(t) dt - \frac{\mathfrak{B}_a[Y_1]}{\mathfrak{B}_a[Y_2]} \int_a^b Y_1(t) Y_2(t) k(t) dt.$$

The first term on the right side is $o(1)$ as $a \rightarrow 0$. The second term may be decomposed into the factors

$$\frac{Y_1(a)}{Y_2(a)} \int_a^b Y_1(t) Y_2(t) k(t) dt, \quad - \frac{Y_2(a)}{Y_1(a)} \frac{\mathfrak{B}_a[Y_1]}{\mathfrak{B}_a[Y_2]}.$$

The first factor is $o(1)$ by hypothesis (2.7) and the second factor is bounded by hypothesis (2.12). These statements establish the asymptotic form (3.17). The proof of (3.18) is similar to that of (3.14) and will be omitted. The result (3.19) follows from (3.14) and (3.18).

Lemma 6. Let $\mathfrak{B}_a[y] = 0$ be the boundary condition (2.13). Then the following asymptotic form is valid for class 2 problems

$$(3.21) \quad \mathfrak{B}_a[HY_1] = \mathfrak{B}_a[Y_2][1 + o(1)] \quad \text{as } a \rightarrow 0.$$

Furthermore, there is a number* C_1 independent of x and m such that

$$(3.22) \quad |H^m Y_1(x)| \leq \frac{2^m}{(m-1)!} C_1 [g(x)]^{m-1} \hat{Y}(x) \quad (0 < x \leq b)$$

and

$$(3.23) \quad |\mathfrak{B}_a[H^m Y_1]| \leq \frac{2^m}{(m-1)!} C_1 [g(a)]^{m-1} |\mathfrak{B}_a[Y_2]| \quad (0 < a \leq a_0).$$

Proof: Equation (3.20) holds as in lemma 5. The first integral on the right side is $o(1)$ as $a \rightarrow 0$ since $Y_1 \in \mathfrak{S}$, and the second integral tends to a finite limit as $a \rightarrow 0$ because class 2 problems are always of the limit circle type. On account of conditions (2.3) and (2.13) it follows that $\mathfrak{B}_a[Y_1] = o(1)$ as $a \rightarrow 0$, and, on account of lemma 3, $\mathfrak{B}_a[Y_2]$ is bounded away from zero whenever $a \leq a_0$. Hence the right side of equation (3.20) is $o(1)$ as $a \rightarrow 0$. These considerations establish the asymptotic form (3.21).

The proof of the result (3.22) is similar to that of (3.14) on the interval $x_0 \leq x \leq b$ and will be omitted. It follows from (3.22) that

$$|\mathfrak{B}_a[H^m Y_1]| \leq \frac{2^{m-1} C_1}{(m-2)!} \int_a^b \{ |Y_1(t) \hat{Y}(t) \mathfrak{B}_a[Y_2]| \\ + |Y_2(t) \hat{Y}(t) \mathfrak{B}_a[Y_1]| \} [g(t)]^{m-2} k(t) dt.$$

*See the preceding footnote.

Since assumption (2.13) and lemma 3 show that $|\mathfrak{B}_a[Y_1]| \leq |\mathfrak{B}_a[Y_2]|$ whenever $a \leq a_0$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathfrak{B}_a[H^m Y_1]| &\leq \frac{2^m C_1}{(m-2)!} |\mathfrak{B}_a[Y_2]| \int_a^b \hat{Y}^2(t) [g(t)]^{m-2} k(t) dt \\ &= \frac{2^m C_1}{(m-1)!} [g(a)]^{m-1} |\mathfrak{B}_a[Y_2]| \quad (a \leq a_0). \end{aligned}$$

Hence lemma 6 has been established.

Lemmas 5 and 6 can now be combined to give results which are valid for class 1 or class 2 problems. Let $g(x)$ be defined by equation (3.11) for class 1 and by equation (3.10) for class 2. Then

$$(3.24) \quad \frac{\mathfrak{B}_a[Y_1]}{\mathfrak{B}_a[HY_1]} = \frac{\mathfrak{B}_a[Y_1]}{\mathfrak{B}_a[Y_2]} [1 + o(1)] \quad \text{as } a \rightarrow 0,$$

and

$$(3.25) \quad \left| \frac{\mathfrak{B}_a[H^m Y_1]}{\mathfrak{B}_a[HY_1]} \right| \leq \frac{2^m C}{(m-1)!} [g(a)]^{m-1} \quad (0 < a \leq a_0) (m = 1, 2, \dots)$$

where C denotes an upper bound for

$$(3.26) \quad C_1 \frac{|\alpha_0(a)| \hat{Y}(a) + |\alpha_1(a)| \hat{Y}'(a)}{|\mathfrak{B}_a[HY_1]|} \quad \text{or} \quad C_1 \left| \frac{\mathfrak{B}_a[Y_2]}{\mathfrak{B}_a[HY_1]} \right|$$

for class 1 or class 2 respectively. The first expression (3.26) is bounded by equation (3.17) and assumption (2.11) and the second expression is bounded by equation (3.21) and lemma 3.

4. Asymptotic expansions for characteristic values and characteristic functions.

The boundary condition $\mathfrak{B}_a[y] = 0$, given by (2.10) for class 1 and (2.13) for class 2, is to be applied to the function $y(x, \lambda)$ with the series expansion (3.7). Considered as a function of the complex variable $\Lambda - \lambda$, the series is an entire function of $\Lambda - \lambda$ for each fixed value of a , and it represents $y(x, \lambda)$ for $a \leq x \leq b$. Termwise differentiation is valid since a standard argument shows that the derived series is uniformly convergent (see lemma 1). Thus

$$(4.1) \quad \mathfrak{B}_a[y] = \mathfrak{B}_a[Y_1] + (\Lambda - \lambda)\mathfrak{B}_a[HY_1] + \dots + (\Lambda - \lambda)^m \mathfrak{B}_a[H^m Y_1] + \dots$$

The series on the right is an entire function of $\Lambda - \lambda$.

Lemma 7. *For each fixed value of $a < a_0$, the function $\mathfrak{B}_a[y(x, \lambda)]$ possesses a unique zero $\lambda = \lambda(a)$ in the neighborhood of $\lambda = \Lambda$ which may be represented by the convergent series expansion*

$$(4.2) \quad \lambda(a) = \Lambda - \theta_0(a) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu_j(a), \quad a < a_0,$$

where the coefficients $\mu_j(a)$ are determined recursively by the formal relation

$$(4.3) \quad 0 = w + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \theta_0^{m-1} \theta_m \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_i w^i \right)^m,$$

where

$$(4.4) \quad \theta_0(a) = \frac{\mathfrak{B}_a[Y_1]}{\mathfrak{B}_a[HY_1]}$$

and

$$(4.5) \quad \theta_m(a) = \frac{\mathfrak{B}_a[H^m Y_1]}{\mathfrak{B}_a[HY_1]} \quad (m = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Proof: Let $\lambda - \Lambda = -s\theta_0(a)$. Then equation (4.1) may be rewritten in the form

$$(4.6) \quad \frac{\mathfrak{B}_a[y]}{\mathfrak{B}_a[Y_1]} - 1 = F(s)$$

where

$$(4.7) \quad F(s) = s + \gamma_2 s^2 + \dots + \gamma_m s^m + \dots$$

and

$$(4.8) \quad \gamma_m = \gamma_m(a) = \theta_0^{m-1}(a) \theta_m(a) \quad (m = 1, 2, \dots).$$

It follows from the asymptotic form (3.24) and the inequality (3.25) that

$$(4.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \theta_0(a) &= o(1) \quad \text{as } a \rightarrow 0, \\ |\theta_m(a)| &\leq 2C[2g(a)]^{m-1} \quad (0 < a \leq a_0) (m = 1, 2, \dots). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$(4.10) \quad |\gamma_m(a)| \leq 2C[2g(a)\theta_0(a)]^{m-1} \quad (0 < a \leq a_0) (m = 1, 2, \dots).$$

For class 1 problems, it follows from lemma 5 that

$$g(a)\theta_0(a) = \frac{\mathfrak{B}_a[Y_1]}{\mathfrak{B}_a[Y_2]} \int_a^b Y_1(t) \hat{Y}(t) k(t) dt [1 + o(1)] = o(1)$$

as $a \rightarrow 0$. For class 2 problems, $g(a)$ is bounded and hence

$$g(a)\theta_0(a) = o(1) \quad \text{as } a \rightarrow 0.$$

For each value of a , $\Lambda - \lambda$ is a zero of $\mathfrak{B}_a[y]$ if and only if s satisfies the equation $F(s) = -1$, since $\mathfrak{B}_a[Y_1]$ exists and is different from zero. $F(s)$ represents an analytic function of the complex variable s for $|s| \leq R$, where R is arbitrarily large. Further, $F(s)$ has the properties that $F(0) = 0$ and $F'(0) \neq 0$. Then the equation $F(s) = -w$ possesses a unique solution $s = s(w)$, regular near $w = 0$, of the form

$$(4.11) \quad s = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_i w^i$$

according to Lagrange's theorem on the inversion of power series [2]. One obtains the coefficients μ_i by substituting (4.11) into the equation $F(s) = -w$, with the result

$$-w = F\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu_j w^j\right) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \gamma_m \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu_j w^j\right)^m,$$

and equating the coefficients of successive powers of w .

The radius of convergence of the series on the right side of (4.11) is not less than $R^2 |F'(0)|^2 / 6M$, where M is an upper bound for $F(s)$ in the region of regularity $|s| \leq R$. Since (4.7) and (4.10) show that $|F(s)| \leq 2|s|$ whenever $a \leq a_0$, such an upper bound is $M = 2R$. However, R is arbitrary and hence the series on the right side of (4.11) converges for all values of w . Let $w = 1$. Then it follows that the equation $F(s) = -1$ possesses a unique solution, which is represented by the convergent series $s = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_i$. The substitution $\lambda = \Lambda - s\theta_0$ then establishes the result (4.2).

Let $g(x)$ and $\theta_0(a)$ be the functions defined by (3.10), (3.11) and (4.4) respectively. The next lemma gives an asymptotic expansion for the zero $\lambda(a)$ with scale $\epsilon(a) = g(a)\theta_0(a)$ as $a \rightarrow 0$ in the sense of definition 1.

Lemma 8. *The series on the right side of (4.2) is an asymptotic expansion for the zero $\lambda(a)$ in lemma 7, with scale $g(a)\theta_0(a)$ as $a \rightarrow 0$. The function $\psi(a)$ in definition 7 is $\theta_0(a)$ in this case.*

Proof: The coefficients μ_i in lemma 7, as determined by (4.3), have the form

$$(4.12) \quad \mu_j = \delta_j - \sum \Phi \gamma_h \mu_{k_1} \mu_{k_2} \cdots \mu_{k_h} \quad (j = 1, 2, \cdots),$$

where the summation extends over indices $h, k_1, k_2, \cdots, k_h$ with the properties

$$h = 2, 3, \cdots; \quad k_1 + k_2 + \cdots + k_h = j,$$

where $\delta_1 = -1$, $\delta_j = 0$ for $j = 2, 3, \cdots$, and where the coefficients Φ depend upon the indices $h, k_1, k_2, \cdots, k_h$, but not upon ϵ . It follows from (4.10) that $\gamma_h = O(\epsilon^{h-1})$ ($h = 1, 2, \cdots$). Clearly $\mu_1 = O(1)$. Under the assumption that $\mu_l = O(\epsilon^{l-1})$ for all $l \leq j-1$ it follows from (4.12) that

$$\mu_j = O(\epsilon^{h-1+k_1+k_2+\cdots+k_h-h}) = O(\epsilon^{j-1}).$$

Hence $\mu_j = O(\epsilon^{j-1})$ is valid for $j = 1, 2, \cdots$ by mathematical induction. Then it follows that

$$\lambda(a) - \Lambda + \theta_0(a)[\mu_1(a) + \cdots + \mu_j(a)] = O(\theta_0 \epsilon^j)$$

for $j = 1, 2, \cdots$, and hence the series on the right side of (4.2) is an asymptotic expansion for $\lambda(a)$ with scale $\epsilon(a)$ as $a \rightarrow 0$ in the sense of definition 1.

It follows in particular from lemma 8 that the following asymptotic forms are valid

$$(4.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \lambda(a) &= \Lambda[1 + o(1)] & \text{as } a \rightarrow 0 \\ \lambda(a) &= \Lambda + \theta_0(a)[1 + o(1)] & \text{as } a \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of lemma 8 has been modeled after the proof of a general theorem of VAN DER CORPUT [9], pp. 59–61.

Theorem. *For each characteristic value Λ of the self-adjoint operator A_0 , for class 1 or class 2 problems, there exists exactly one characteristic value $\lambda = \lambda(a)$ of A_a in the neighborhood of Λ such that the convergent asymptotic expansion (4.2) is valid. Furthermore, the corresponding characteristic function $y(x, \lambda)$ possesses the asymptotic expansion*

$$(4.14) \quad y[x, \lambda(a)] - Y_1(x, \Lambda) \sim \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} [\Lambda - \lambda(a)]^j H^j Y_1(x, \Lambda)$$

with scale $\epsilon(a, x) = g(x)\theta_0(a)$ as $a \rightarrow 0$, valid uniformly for $a \leq x \leq b$, in the sense of definition 2. The function $\psi(a, x)$ is $\theta_0(a)\hat{Y}(x)$ in this case.

Proof: The zero $\lambda(a)$ described in lemmas 7 and 8, with the asymptotic expansion (4.11), satisfies the condition $\mathfrak{B}_a[y(x, \lambda)] = 0$. Then all the conditions of lemma 2 are satisfied and it follows that $\lambda(a)$ is a characteristic value of A_a with corresponding characteristic function $y[x, \lambda(a)]$. If $l \neq \lambda$ were another characteristic value near Λ , then $\mathfrak{B}_a[y(x, l)] \neq 0$ by the uniqueness of the zero, which contradicts $y(x, l) \in \mathfrak{D}_a$.

In order to prove (4.14) we observe that

$$(4.15) \quad y[x, \lambda(a)] - Y_1(x, \Lambda) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} [\Lambda - \lambda(a)]^j H^j Y_1(x, \Lambda).$$

Because of lemmas 4, 6, and 8 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |H^j Y_1(x, \Lambda)| &\leq 2C_1 [2g(x)]^{j-1} \hat{Y}(x) & (a \leq x \leq b) (j = 1, 2, \dots) \\ |\Lambda - \lambda(a)|^j &\leq |2\theta_0(a)|^j & (0 < a \leq a_0) (j = 1, 2, \dots). \end{aligned}$$

The terms after the m^{th} in the series on the right of (4.15) can then be estimated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} |(\Lambda - \lambda)^{m+1} H^{m+1} Y_1(x) + (\Lambda - \lambda)^{m+2} H^{m+2} Y_1(x) + \dots| \\ \leq 4C_1 |\theta_0(a) \hat{Y}(x)| \{ |4g(x)\theta_0(a)|^m + |4g(x)\theta_0(a)|^{m+1} \dots \} \\ \leq 8C_1 |\theta_0(a) \hat{Y}(x)| |4g(x)\theta_0(a)|^m, \\ a \leq a_0, \quad a \leq x \leq b, \quad (m = 1, 2, \dots). \end{aligned}$$

Then (4.15) yields the result

$$(4.16) \quad y[x, \lambda(a)] - Y(x, \Lambda) - \sum_{j=1}^m [\Lambda - \lambda(a)]^j H^j Y_1(x, \Lambda) = O\{\theta_0(a) \hat{Y}(x) [g(x)\theta_0(a)]^m\}$$

as $a \rightarrow 0$ uniformly for $a \leq x \leq b$ ($m = 1, 2, \dots$). Then, according to definition 2, the series on the right side of (4.14) constitutes an asymptotic expansion of

$y[x, \lambda(a)] - Y_1(x, \Lambda)$ with scale $g(x)\theta_0(a)$ as $a \rightarrow 0$ uniformly for $a \leq x \leq b$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Several corollaries to the theorem will now be stated. The first three are immediate consequences of the preceding results. The fourth requires a little proof, but this will be omitted.

Corollary 1. *In class 1 or class 2 problems, the characteristic value $\lambda(a)$ of A_a has the asymptotic form*

$$(4.17) \quad \lambda(a) = \Lambda + \frac{\mathfrak{B}_a[Y_1]}{\mathfrak{B}_a[Y_2]} [1 + o(1)] \quad \text{as } a \rightarrow 0.$$

Corollary 2. *Let $I[Y_1]$ be a closed subset of $(0, b]$ with the property that $Y_1(x, \Lambda) \neq 0$ whenever $x \in I[Y_1]$. Then the characteristic function $y(x, \lambda)$ has the asymptotic expansion*

$$(4.18) \quad y[x, \lambda(a)] = Y_1(x, \Lambda) + \sum_{i=1}^m [\Lambda - \lambda(a)]^i H^i Y_1(x, \Lambda) + Y_1(x, \Lambda) O[\theta_0^{m+1}(a)]$$

with scale $\theta_0(a)$ as $a \rightarrow 0$, uniformly for $x \in I[Y_1]$.

Corollary 3. *The characteristic function $y(x, \lambda)$ has the following asymptotic form*

$$(4.19) \quad y[x, \lambda(a)] = Y_1(x, \Lambda) + \frac{\mathfrak{B}_a[Y_1]}{\mathfrak{B}_a[Y_2]} H Y_1(x, \Lambda) [1 + o(1)]$$

as $a \rightarrow 0$ uniformly for $x \in I[Y_1]$.

Corollary 4. *The characteristic function $y(x, \lambda)$ possesses a convergent series expansion, consisting of $Y_1(x, \Lambda)$ plus the series on the right side of (4.14), valid for $0 < a \leq a_0$, uniformly for $a \leq x \leq b$. Furthermore, $y[x, \lambda(a)]$ has the property that*

$$(4.20) \quad ||y[x, \lambda(a)] - Y_1(x, \Lambda)|| = o(1) \quad \text{as } a \rightarrow 0.$$

5. Regular singularities. The differential operator (2.1) is under consideration when $q(x)$ and $k(x)$ are real-valued piecewise continuous functions of the real variable x and $k(x)$ is positive-valued. The following specific assumptions will be made in this section:

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{aligned} q(x) &\sim q_{-2}x^{-2} + q_{-1}x^{-1} + \cdots \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow 0 \\ &\quad (q_{-1}, q_{-2} \text{ not both zero}), \\ k(x) &\sim k_{-1}x^{-2+m} + k_0x^{-1+m} + \cdots \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow 0 \\ &\quad (m = 1, 2, \dots; k_{-1} > 0). \end{aligned}$$

The symbols ρ_1 and ρ_2 will denote the roots of the quadratic equation

$$(5.2) \quad \rho(\rho - 1) - q_{-2} = 0.$$

Class 1 problems. It is assumed that $q_{-2} \geq -\frac{1}{4}$. If $q_{-2} > -\frac{1}{4}$, then the roots ρ_1 and ρ_2 are distinct ($\rho_1 > \rho_2$). The differential equation $LU = \Lambda U$ under consideration possesses linearly independent solutions $U_j(x)$ ($j = 1, 2$) with the asymptotic behavior

$$(5.3) \quad U_j(x) \sim x^{\rho_j} \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow 0 \quad (j = 1, 2).$$

If in addition $q_{-2} \geq \frac{1}{4}(m^2 - 1) > -\frac{1}{4}$ ($m = 1, 2, \dots$) it follows that $\rho_2 \leq \frac{1}{2}(1 - m)$, so that U_2 is not in $\mathfrak{S}$. Hence this is the limit point case and no boundary condition is needed at the point $x = 0$. If $-\frac{1}{4} < q_{-2} < \frac{1}{4}(m^2 - 1)$, then $\rho_2 > \frac{1}{2}(1 - m)$ and hence both linearly independent solutions belong to $\mathfrak{S}$. This is the limit circle case and will not in general lead to a class 1 problem. However, it is known [7] that the function $\chi(x)$ in section 2 can be chosen so that the boundary condition (2.3) reduces to

$$(5.4) \quad \mathfrak{B}_0[Y] = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} x^{-\rho_2} Y(x) = 0.$$

This condition requires that $Y(x) \sim Cx^\rho$ as $x \rightarrow 0$, with $\rho > \rho_2$, in order that $Y \in \mathfrak{S}$. Since Y is supposed to satisfy the differential equation $LU = \Lambda U$ in order to be a characteristic function of A_0 , it follows that $Y(x) \sim Cx^{\rho_1}$ as $x \rightarrow 0$. With the choice (5.4) for the boundary condition (2.3), any characteristic function Y_1 of A_0 satisfies the hypothesis (2.7).

The boundary condition (5.4) ensures that the characteristic functions for the basic problem will have the same asymptotic behavior as the small solution U_1 in (5.3). If a more general boundary condition of the type (2.3) were to replace (5.4), a problem would arise which is in neither class 1 nor class 2.

If $q_{-2} = -\frac{1}{4}$, the roots of (5.2) are identical, $\rho_1 = \rho_2$. Then there are linearly independent solutions of $LU = \Lambda U$ with the asymptotic behavior

$$(5.5) \quad U_1(x) \sim x^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad U_2(x) \sim x^{\frac{1}{2}} \ln x \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow 0.$$

The boundary condition (5.4) is replaced by

$$(5.6) \quad \mathfrak{B}_0[Y] = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{Y(x)}{x^{\frac{1}{2}} \ln x} = 0.$$

Again this demands that $Y(x) \sim CU_1(x)$ as $x \rightarrow 0$, so that (2.7) is satisfied in this case also.

Class 2 problems. It is assumed that $q_{-2} < -\frac{1}{4}$. The solutions ρ_1 and ρ_2 of (5.2) are then of the form

$$(5.7) \quad \rho_1 = \frac{1}{2} + i\omega, \quad \rho_2 = \frac{1}{2} - i\omega$$

where ω is a positive number. The point $x = 0$ comes under the limit circle case, and appropriate boundary conditions are known [7] to be

$$(5.8) \quad \mathfrak{B}_0[Y] = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} [\zeta'(x)Y(x) - \zeta(x)Y'(x)] = 0$$

where

$$(5.9) \quad \zeta(x) = \frac{1}{2}i(x^{\rho_1}e^{i\theta} - x^{\rho_2}e^{-i\theta}) \quad (0 \leq \theta < \pi).$$

These conditions are deduced from (2.3). Then the condition (2.9) is clearly satisfied for the solutions U_1, U_2 of $LU = \Lambda U$ with the asymptotic behavior $U_j(x) \sim x^{\rho_j}$ as $x \rightarrow 0$ ($j = 1, 2$).

In order to define the perturbed self-adjoint operator A_a , we shall now formulate the appropriate boundary conditions (2.10) for class 1 problems or (2.13) for class 2 problems. We assume that

$$(5.10) \quad \sigma = \lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \frac{a\alpha_0(a)}{\alpha_1(a)}$$

exists. The limit may be finite or ∞ . For class 1 problems, the restriction (2.11) on the coefficients $\alpha_0(a)$ and $\alpha_1(a)$ will be satisfied provided that

$$(5.11) \quad \sigma + \rho_2 \neq 0.$$

This may be eased in the limit point case, but we shall not pursue this now. For class 1 problems, therefore, $\mathfrak{D}_a$ is the domain of definition 8 with the condition (5.11) on the functions $\alpha_0(a), \alpha_1(a)$. For class 2 problems, the distinguished boundary condition (2.13) is equivalent to

$$(5.12) \quad \mathfrak{B}_a(y) = \zeta'(a)y(a) - \zeta(a)y'(a) = 0,$$

where the function $\zeta(a)$ is given by (5.9).

Because of the assumptions (5.1), it can be seen that the inverse operator $(A_0 - i)^{-1}$ is completely continuous on the (dense) subspace of piecewise continuous functions of $\mathfrak{S}$. Then it follows from the result of VON NEUMANN [7] that A_0 on $\mathfrak{D}_0$ has a denumerable set of characteristic values $\{\Lambda_n\}$ and a corresponding set of characteristic functions $\{Y_{1n}\}$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$). The existence of these sets has also been established by MCCREA & NEWING [6]. The problem of finding characteristic values λ of A_a on $\mathfrak{D}_a$ is the regular Sturm-Liouville problem, so there exists a denumerable set $\{\lambda_n\}$ and a corresponding set $\{y_n\}$. The following theorem can then be easily deduced from the theorem of section 4.

Theorem. *Let L be the differential operator (2.1) for class 1 or class 2 problems, under the assumption that the point $x = 0$ is a regular singularity and all points in the interval $(0, b]$ are ordinary points. Then the condition (2.7) is satisfied for class 1 problems and (2.9) is satisfied for class 2 problems. Suppose the functions $\alpha_0(a)$ and $\alpha_1(a)$ in (2.10) satisfy the condition (5.11). Then for every characteristic value Λ_n of the operator A_0 there exists a unique characteristic value $\lambda_n(a)$ of A_a , which possesses the asymptotic expansion*

$$(5.14) \quad \lambda_n(a) \sim \Lambda_n - \theta_0(a) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_i(a)$$

with scale $\theta_0(a)$ as $a \rightarrow 0$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$). The coefficients $\mu_i(a)$ are given by (4.3).

Furthermore, the characteristic functions $y_n[x, \lambda_n(a)]$ have asymptotic expansions of the type (4.14) with scale $\theta_0(a)$ as $a \rightarrow 0$, uniformly for $a \leq x \leq b$.

Corollary 5. Let $U_{1n} = U_{1n}(x, \Lambda_n)$ be the solution of the equation $LY = \Lambda_n Y$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) with the asymptotic behavior (5.3) or (5.5) according as $q_{-2} > -\frac{1}{4}$ or $q_{-2} = -\frac{1}{4}$. Let $\Omega_n = \|U_{1n}\|^2$. Then, for class 1 problems, the characteristic values $\lambda_n(a)$ of A_a have the following explicit asymptotic forms, valid as $a \rightarrow 0$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) under the restrictions stated.

$$(5.6) \quad \lambda_n(a) = \Lambda_n + \frac{1}{\Omega_n} (\rho_1 - \rho_2) a^{\rho_1 - \rho_2} [1 + o(1)]$$

when $\sigma = \infty$, $q_{-2} > -\frac{1}{4}$,

$$(5.17) \quad \lambda_n(a) = \Lambda_n + \frac{1}{\Omega_n} (\rho_1 - \rho_2) a^{\rho_1 - \rho_2} \left[\frac{\sigma + \rho_1}{\sigma + \rho_2} + o(1) \right]$$

when σ is finite, $\sigma + \rho_2 \neq 0$, $q_{-2} > -\frac{1}{4}$,

$$(5.18) \quad \lambda_n(a) = \Lambda_n - \frac{1}{\Omega_n \ln a} [1 + o(1)]$$

when $q_{-2} = -\frac{1}{4}$.

We shall now obtain the analogous corollary for class 2. Let $\mathfrak{B}_a[y] = 0$ be the distinguished boundary condition (5.12). Then theorem 2 and lemma 6 give the asymptotic form

$$(5.19) \quad \lambda_n(a) - \Lambda_n = \frac{\mathfrak{B}_a[Y_{1n}]}{\mathfrak{B}_a[Y_{2n}]} [1 + o(1)] \quad \text{as } a \rightarrow 0.$$

To obtain a more explicit result, we need to investigate $\mathfrak{B}_a[Y_{1n}]$ and $\mathfrak{B}_a[Y_{2n}]$ as $a \rightarrow 0$. For class 2 problems the asymptotic behavior of the solutions $U_{in}(x)$ ($j = 1, 2$; $n = 1, 2, \dots$) of $LU = \Lambda_n U$ is

$$(5.20) \quad U_{in}(x) \sim x^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{\pm i \omega \ln x} [1 + U_{in}^{(1)} x + \dots] \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow 0$$

($j = 1, 2$; $n = 1, 2, \dots$).

Then it follows from the boundary condition (5.8) that the characteristic functions have the form

$$(5.21) \quad Y_{1n}(x) = C_n \{ x^{\frac{1}{2}} \sin(\omega \ln x + \theta) [1 + B_n x + \dots] \\ + x^{\frac{1}{2}} \cos(\omega \ln x + \theta) [D_n x + \dots] \},$$

$0 < x \leq b, \quad 0 \leq \theta < \pi \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots)$

where C_n is a normalization constant, determined so that $\|Y_{1n}\| = 1$, and B_n and D_n are constants. The second linearly independent solution of $LU = \Lambda_n U$, determined as in section 2, has the asymptotic behavior

$$(5.22) \quad Y_{2n}(x) = \frac{1}{C_n \omega} x^{\frac{1}{2}} [\cos(\omega \ln x + \theta) + O(x)] \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow 0,$$

$0 \leq \theta < \pi \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$

When (5.21), (5.22) as well as (5.9) are inserted into (5.12), the following results are obtained:

$$(5.23) \quad \mathfrak{B}_a[Y_{1n}] = C_n a [B_n \sin^2(\omega \ln a + \theta) - D_n \omega + \frac{1}{2} D_n \sin(2\omega \ln a + 2\theta) + O(a)] \quad \text{as } a \rightarrow 0,$$

$$(5.24) \quad \mathfrak{B}_a[Y_{2n}] = -\frac{1}{C_n} [1 + O(a)] \quad \text{as } a \rightarrow 0.$$

Substitution of (5.23) and (5.24) into (5.19) then leads to the following corollary.

Corollary 6. *The characteristic values $\lambda_n(a)$ of A_n for class 2 problems have the asymptotic forms*

$$(5.25) \quad \begin{aligned} \lambda_n(a) = \Lambda_n - C_n^2 a [B_n \sin^2(\omega \ln a + \theta) - D_n \\ + \frac{1}{2} D_n \sin(2\omega \ln a + 2\theta) + o(1)] \quad \text{as } a \rightarrow 0 \\ (0 \leq \theta < \pi) \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots). \end{aligned}$$

Success in obtaining a result like (5.25) depends in a crucial manner on a distinguished boundary condition being applied at the point $x = a$. The necessity of introducing such a boundary condition can be understood on the basis of WEYL's theorem [10], but the details of the discussion will be omitted here.

Example 1. *The annular membrane with fixed outer edge.* Let Δ be the Laplacian operator in the plane. The characteristic value problem is to solve the equation $-\Delta w + \lambda w = 0$ on the circular annulus $a \leq r \leq 1$ ($0 < a < 1$) subject to the boundary conditions $w = 0$ when $r = 1$ and $\alpha_0 w + \alpha_1 \partial w / \partial r = 0$ when $r = a$. It is assumed that α_0, α_1 are independent of a , and that they are not both zero.

One is led to the equation $-(d^2 u / dr^2) + (m^2 - \frac{1}{4}) r^{-2} u = \lambda u$. Hence $q(r) = (m^2 - \frac{1}{4}) r^{-2}$ and $k(r) = 1$ in (2.1). The problem is in class 1 and corollary 5 is applicable. The constant $\Omega_{n,m}$ in (5.16) can be calculated explicitly by using the properties of Bessel functions (see [11], p. 381, 19), and one obtains the result

$$(5.26) \quad \lambda_{n,m}(a) = \Lambda_{n,m} \pm \frac{2m\Lambda_{n,m} a^{2m} [1 + o(1)]}{2^{2m-1} [\Gamma(m+1)]^2 J_{m+1}^2(\Lambda_{n,m}^{\frac{1}{2}})} \quad \text{as } a \rightarrow 0 \\ (n, m = 1, 2, \dots)$$

where the $+$ or $-$ sign is chosen according as $\alpha_1 = 0$ or $\alpha_1 \neq 0$, and where $\Lambda_{n,m}$ satisfies the equation $J_m(\Lambda_{n,m}^{\frac{1}{2}}) = 0$.

6. Irregular singularities. It will be assumed in this section that the differential operator (2.1) has an irregular singularity of finite rank p ($p = 1, 2, \dots$) at $x = 0$. For convenience the singularity will be transformed to the point at infinity, and the characteristic value problems will be considered on the interval $b \leq x < \infty$. The differential equation involved in these problems is

$$(6.1) \quad \frac{d^2 U}{dx^2} + U[-q(x) + \Lambda k(x)] = 0 \quad (b \leq x < \infty)$$

where $q(x)$ and $k(x)$ are piecewise continuous, real-valued functions on the interval $[b, \infty)$ and $k(x)$ is positive-valued. The following specific assumptions will be made*

$$(6.2) \quad q(x) \sim Q_{2p-2}x^{2p-2} + Q_{2p-3}x^{2p-3} + \cdots \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty$$

$$(Q_{2p-2} > 0) \quad (p = 1, 2, \cdots),$$

$$(6.3) \quad k(x) \sim K_m x^m + K_{m-1}x^{m-1} + \cdots \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty$$

$$(K_m > 0) \quad (m = 2p-3, 2p-4, \cdots).$$

The positive integer p is the *rank* of the irregular singularity at $x = \infty$. Once p is prescribed, m assumes one of the values $2p-3, 2p-4, \cdots$. It is known [3], [7] that (6.1) possesses linearly independent solutions $U_i(x)$ ($i = 1, 2$), called the normal solutions, with the asymptotic behavior**

$$(6.4) \quad U_i(x) \sim e^{\pi \omega(x)} x^{\gamma_i} \left(1 + \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} U_{ih} x^{-h} \right) \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty \quad (i = 1, 2)$$

where $\omega(x)$ is the polynomial

$$\omega(x) = \omega_p x^p + \omega_{p-1} x^{p-1} + \cdots + \omega_1 x,$$

$$(6.5) \quad \omega_p = \frac{1}{p} Q_{2p-2}^{\frac{1}{2}} > 0 \quad (p = 1, 2, \cdots).$$

The coefficients ω_l ($l = p-1, p-2, \cdots, 1$), γ_i , and U_{ih} ($i = 1, 2$; $h = 1, 2, \cdots$) are determined recursively after formal substitution of (6.2), (6.3), and (6.4) into (6.1). Observe that ω_p is a positive number and ω_l , γ_i , and U_{ih} are real when Λ is real. Further, $\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 = 1 - p$ ($p = 1, 2, \cdots$).

In this section, $\mathfrak{H}$ denotes the Hilbert space of all complex-valued functions $U(x)$ such that $\int_b^\infty |U(x)|^2 k(x) dx$ exists, with inner product defined analogously to that in section 2. The domain $\mathfrak{D}_0$ consists of those functions Y belonging to $\mathfrak{H}$ which satisfy (a), (b), and (c) of definition 3, with the interval $(0, b]$ replaced by $[b, \infty)$. Definition 4 is void because the point $x = \infty$ always comes under the limit point case according to (6.4) and (6.5). Let a be a number in the interval $b < a < \infty$. Then $\mathfrak{D}_a$ is defined by definition 8 with $[a, b]$ replaced by $[b, a]$. The operator A_0 , as well as A_a , is a self-adjoint operator in the space $\mathfrak{H}$, but the inverse operator $(A_0 - i)^{-1}$ is not necessarily completely continuous on the subspace of piecewise continuous functions of $\mathfrak{H}$ for arbitrary integers p and $m \leq 2p-3$.

*The case that the dominant term in $q(x)$ at $x = \infty$ has an odd exponent, or $Q_{2p-2} = 0$, will be treated later in this section.

**The convention will be made that $j = 1$ corresponds to the negative sign and $j = 2$ to the positive sign.

It will be assumed that there exists an isolated point Λ in the spectrum of A_0 . Let Y_1 be the corresponding characteristic function and let Y_2 be a real linearly independent solution of the equation $LU = \Lambda U$ such that $\mathfrak{W}[Y_1, Y_2] = -1$. Let U_1, U_2 be the normal solutions of this equation and let

$$(6.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta &= \mathfrak{W}[U_1, U_2] = 2Q_{2p-2}^1, \\ \Omega &= \|U_1\|^2 = \int_b^\infty U_1^2(t)k(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (6.4) that the condition

$$(6.7) \quad \frac{Y_1(x)}{Y_2(x)} \int_b^x Y_1(t)Y_2(t)k(t) dt = o(1) \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty$$

is always satisfied under the assumptions of this section. Clearly (6.7) is equivalent to the assumption (2.7). Let $\alpha_0(a), \alpha_1(a)$ be the coefficients occurring in the boundary condition (2.10). Suppose that

$$(6.8) \quad \sigma = \lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a^{1-p}\alpha_0(a)}{\alpha_1(a)} \quad (p = 1, 2, \dots)$$

exists. It may be a finite number or ∞ . We shall assume that $\alpha_0(a), \alpha_1(a)$ satisfy

$$(6.9) \quad \sigma + p\omega_p \neq 0,$$

which is a sufficient condition for (2.11) to hold. Then the following result is established in the same way as theorem 1. The details of the proof will be omitted.

Theorem. *Let L be the differential operator (2.1) defined on the interval $[b, \infty)$, where $x = \infty$ is an irregular singularity of finite rank and all other points are ordinary points. Under the assumptions of this section the problems will always be of class 1. For each isolated point Λ in the spectrum of A_0 there exists exactly one point $\lambda(a)$ in the spectrum of A_a with the property that the convergent asymptotic expansion (4.2) is valid as $a \rightarrow \infty$. The corresponding characteristic function $y(x, \lambda)$ possesses a convergent asymptotic expansion of the type (4.14), valid as $a \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly for $b \leq x \leq a$.*

Corollary 7. *The characteristic value $\lambda(a)$ in theorem 3 has the following explicit asymptotic form:*

$$(6.10) \quad \lambda(a) = \Lambda + \frac{\Delta}{\Omega} a^{\gamma_1 - \gamma_2} e^{-2\omega(a)} \left[\frac{\sigma - p\omega_p}{\sigma + p\omega_p} + o(1) \right]$$

as $a \rightarrow \infty$, $\sigma + p\omega_p \neq 0$.

Results can still be obtained when $\sigma + p\omega_p = 0$ by modifying the treatment slightly. Suppose that $\sigma + p\omega_p = 0$ and that ω_{p-j} is the first non-vanishing coefficient in the series (6.5) after ω_p . In this event

$$\frac{\mathfrak{B}_a[Y_1]}{\mathfrak{B}_a[Y_2]} = a^{\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 + j} e^{-2\omega(a)} \left[\frac{\sigma - p\omega_p}{(p-j)\omega_{p-j}} + o(1) \right].$$

Then (6.10) is replaced by

$$(6.11) \quad \lambda(a) = \Lambda + \frac{\Delta}{\Omega} a^{\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 + i} e^{-2\omega(a)} \left[\frac{\sigma - p\omega_p}{(p-j)\omega_{p-j}} + o(1) \right] \quad \text{as } a \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$\sigma + p\omega_p = \omega_{p-1} = \cdots = \omega_{p-j-1} = 0, \quad \omega_{p-j} \neq 0.$$

Similar results can be written down in the event that $\sigma + p\omega_p = 0$ and $\omega_{p-j} = 0$ for each $j = 1, 2, \dots, p-1$.

Consider the special case $p = 1$. Let $\omega = \omega_1$, $\gamma = \gamma_1 = -\gamma_2$. Then (6.10) reduces to

$$(6.12) \quad \lambda(a) = \Lambda + \frac{2\omega}{\Omega} a^{2\gamma} e^{-2\omega a} \left[\frac{\sigma - \omega}{\sigma + \omega} + o(1) \right] \quad \text{as } a \rightarrow \infty, \quad \sigma + \omega \neq 0.$$

For the case $p = 1$, it can be seen that the inverse operator $(A_0 - i)^{-1}$ is completely continuous on the subspace of piecewise continuous functions of $\mathfrak{S}$. Then there is a denumerable set of characteristic values $\{\Lambda_n\}$ of A_0 and a corresponding set $\{Y_{1n}\}$ which is complete ($n = 1, 2, \dots$). Then asymptotic forms like (6.12) are valid for each $\lambda_n(a)$ as $a \rightarrow \infty$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$).

It has been assumed so far that $Q_{2p-2} > 0$ ($p = 1, 2, \dots$). If $Q_{2p-2} = 0$ and $Q_{2p-3} > 0$, then there do not exist normal solutions of equation (6.1). However, the problem can be reduced to the normal case by the transformation

$$\xi = x^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad V(\xi) = \xi^{-\frac{1}{2}} U(x)$$

of equation (6.1).

Example 2. Consider the characteristic value problem $-(d^2u/dx^2) + x^2u = \lambda u$, ($0 \leq x \leq a$), $u(0) = u(a) = 0$. The point $x = \infty$ is an irregular singularity of rank 2, and (6.10) is applicable with the identification $\sigma = \infty$, $\Delta = 2$, $\gamma_1 = 2n + 1$, $\gamma_2 = -2n - 2$, $\omega(a) = \frac{1}{2}a^2$. The constant Ω_n can be evaluated from the properties of parabolic cylinder functions of the first kind [11], p. 351.

One is easily led to asymptotic forms for the characteristic values of the bounded linear quantum mechanical oscillator problem $-(d^2u/dx^2) + x^2u = \lambda u$ ($-a \leq x \leq a$), $u(-a) = u(a) = 0$. The result is

$$\lambda_n(a) = (2n + 1) + 2^{n+2}(n!)^{-1}\pi^{-\frac{1}{2}}a^{2n+1}e^{-a^2}[1 + o(1)]$$

$$\text{as } a \rightarrow \infty \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \dots),$$

which agrees with that obtained by HULL [4].

It has been assumed throughout that the fixed endpoint b of the interval under consideration is an ordinary point of the differential equation. However, slight modifications enable us to state analogous results in the case that b is a regular singularity. These results are pertinent to the bounded rigid rotator [8] and the bounded hydrogen atom in quantum mechanics. The results (5.16) and (6.10) then lead to asymptotic forms for the characteristic values which agree with previous results [4].

The research presented here was submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy at the California Institute of Technology, 1956. I am indebted to Professor A. ERDÉLYI for offering invaluable criticism of the text. Also I should like to express my gratitude to Professor H. F. BOHNENBLUST and Dr. J. L. MCGREGOR of the California Institute of Technology and Professor T. E. HULL of the University of British Columbia.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. A. CODDINGTON. The spectral representation of ordinary self-adjoint differential operators. *Annals of Math.* **60** (1954) 192–211.
- [2] E. T. COPSON. *An introduction to the theory of functions of a complex variable*. Oxford University (1944).
- [3] A. ERDÉLYI. *Asymptotic expansions*. Technical report 3, Department of Mathematics, California Institute of Technology (1955).
- [4] T. E. HULL & R. S. JULIUS. *Enclosed quantum mechanical systems*. Department of Mathematics, University of B.C. (1956).
- [5] TOSIO KATO. *Quadratic forms in Hilbert spaces and asymptotic perturbation series*. Technical report no. 7, Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley (1955).
- [6] W. H. MCCREA & R. A. NEWING. Boundary conditions for the wave equation. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **2**, **37** (1934) 520–534.
- [7] FRANZ RELICH. *Spectral theory of a second order ordinary differential operator*. New York University (1953).
- [8] A. SOMMERFELD & H. HARTMAN. Künstliche Grenzbedingungen in der Wellenmechanik. Der beschränkte Rotator. *Ann. Phys.* **5**, **37** (1940) 333–343.
- [9] J. G. VAN DER CORPUT. *Asymptotic expansions I*. Technical report 1, Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley (1954).
- [10] H. WEYL. Ueber gewöhnliche Differentialgleichungen mit Singularitäten und die zugehörigen Entwicklungen willkürlichen Funktionen. *Math. Ann.* **68** (1910), 220–269.
- [11] E. T. WHITTAKER & G. N. WATSON. *A course of modern analysis*. Fourth edition, Cambridge (1952).